... IMF lending programs ... are predicated on certain assumptions about output, inflation, and other economic variables. Too often, those numbers are a result of a process of negotiation, rather than a more dispassionate economic forecast.

—Stiglitz (2011, p. 12)

118. This chapter focuses on forecasts in the context of IMF-supported programs. 61 Several considerations motivate this focus. First, more than in other cases, program forecasts have direct implications for policy decisions. Second, since the forecast embodied in a program is the result of a negotiation 62 between staff and country authorities, it does not necessarily reflect a purely detached view about the prospects for the economy. Third, these forecasts differ from forecasts associated with regular surveillance exercises since their accuracy is conditional on the successful implementation of the policy measures specified in the program. 63 Finally, there is considerable controversy related to the accuracy of such forecasts.

119. Inaccurate forecasts can have negative repercussions for the country in question. 64 Biased forecasts may lead to misguided policies and may create unwarranted expectations on the part of other economic agents. As several interviewees pointed out, an overly sanguine projection may translate into a false sense of security preventing timely action or, worse, excessive fiscal expenditures especially in the case of resource-rich countries. As a result, the adjustment program may go off-track and lead to the interruption of support from the IMF and other lenders. Conversely, overly pessimistic forecasts may have negative repercussions if they translate into too strong an adjustment, reducing the fiscal space required for a speedier recovery.

120. With a focus on the evaluation questions set out in Chapter 1, this chapter first discusses why the cooperative nature of the program engagement between country authorities and the IMF can affect the nature of the projections included in the agreement. Section B presents new empirical results about the quality of IMF forecasts in program cases. Section C reviews IMF self-assessments of forecasts in a subset of programs, and Section D presents an overall assessment. Except where otherwise noted, the focus is on short-term point forecasts.

A. Forecasts in the Context of Program Negotiations

121. There exists a quite general and persistent perception that IMF program forecasts have an optimistic bias. A review of existing empirical findings shows, however, that the reality is much more nuanced and is highly sensitive to the chosen sample of countries and time period (Luna, 2014b). 65

122. Responses from the evaluation survey and, especially, from follow-up interviews conducted with staff and country officials, help explain these seemingly contradictory findings. In general, because a program is
the result of a cooperative process, the direction in which projections will deviate from the unconditional forecast will depend on the particular circumstances facing the authorities and IMF staff. Projections are sometimes aimed at influencing program outcomes. An upbeat forecast could signal to other international creditors that the economy has entered a period of sustained growth, inducing them to provide credits supplementary to those of the IMF. In other cases, it has been argued, a pessimistic forecast may have some advantages.\footnote{See, for example, the IMF’s ex post assessment for Argentina (IMF, 2006a).}

### B. Statistical Biases in Short-Term Forecasts

123. This section investigates whether the accuracy of short-term forecasts made in program contexts depends on the size of the program and whether the forecast contained in the first review of the program is more or less accurate than the initial forecast. It also compares the accuracy of IMF forecasts with those of the private sector. The analysis is carried out for 103 Fund-supported programs for which the IMF made forecasts in the period 2002–11. Data are drawn from the Monitoring of Fund Arrangements (MONA) database.\footnote{This database is a valuable source of information about IMF-supported programs. While much of it is available to the public, for programs undertaken since 2002 complete information about forecasts of macroeconomic variables contained in each successive program review can, however, be obtained only upon request to the SPR staff who maintain the database. See Luna (2014b, Annex 2) for additional detail.}

124. Although the findings vary according to the variable and the nature of the program being considered,\footnote{Results presented in de Resende (2014) reinforce this conclusion. Specifically, evidence of optimistic biases in medium-term forecasts made in the context of programs, over the 1990–2012 period, depends on: (i) the forecast horizon: strong evidence is only found in three-year-ahead forecasts; (ii) the history of countries regarding their engagement in IMF programs: countries with a history of IMF programs have more optimistic forecasts than countries that have not engaged in IMF programs over the sample period; and (iii) the different stages of a program: large optimistic biases pre-date programs (perhaps reflecting the inability to predict the “crisis” that led to the program), resurface in the year that programs start (perhaps reflecting political economy considerations associated with program inception and/or the inability to predict the typical post-program deceleration in growth), and fade out quickly one year after the start of the program.} some generalizations are possible:

(i) Forecasts of CPI inflation tend to be optimistic (i.e., lower than out-turns).

(ii) Some statistically significant optimistic biases exist for short-term GDP growth forecasts but only for exceptional access programs.\footnote{The IMF can lend amounts above normal limits on a case-by-case basis under its exceptional access policy, which entails enhanced scrutiny by the Fund’s Executive Board. Exceptional access arrangements comprise access beyond (i) an annual limit of 200 percent of the country’s quota; and (ii) a cumulative limit of 600 percent of quota, net of scheduled repurchases. For details, see IMF Decision No. 14064-(08/18), available at www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/decisionrep.html?decision=14064-(08/18). Although exceptional access programs made up less than 15 percent of the total sample considered here, they accounted for more than 85 percent of the total amount disbursed.} For other types of programs the biases tend to be either pessimistic or statistically not significant.

(iii) Similarly, for exceptional access programs, forecasts for the fiscal balance tend to be pessimistic.\footnote{A “pessimistic” forecast for the fiscal balance is defined as a forecast that implies a larger fiscal deficit (or a smaller surplus) than the eventual outturn.}

(iv) Results for large-disbursement programs—defined as those with more than SDR 2 billion in disbursement—differ very little from those for exceptional access programs.

125. These findings are consistent with information collected in interviews with IMF staff and staff in Executive Directors’ offices. In particular, the fact that the fiscal deficit is a target under a program, whereas GDP growth is not, could explain the apparent contradiction between an optimistic GDP forecast and a pessimistic forecast for the fiscal balance. First, pessimistic forecasts for the fiscal balance give country authorities some room for maneuver in the revenue and expenditure side so as to meet the budget target even if revenues fall short of projections or unexpected expenditures arise. Second, where a waiver is needed, lower than expected GDP growth offers a very good explanation (outside of the authorities’ responsibility) of why fiscal targets could not be met.

126. A notable finding is that optimistic biases characterizing the forecasts at the inception of a program are frequently reduced or even reversed at the time of the first review of the program, which normally occurs about three months into the program (Figure 12).\footnote{See Luna (2014b) for additional results substantiating this statement.}

127. Two findings emerge when IMF forecasts are compared with forecasts by the private sector, as published by Consensus Economics (Figure 13).\footnote{The comparisons were made for forecasts of real GDP growth. Note that the number of cases where a direct comparison could be made was relatively limited. The issues related to the dating of the forecasts, discussed in Chapter 4, should also be kept in mind when interpreting comparisons of forecast accuracy.} First, concerning the initial program forecasts, the results are mixed depending on which country and which forecast...
horizon is considered; in some cases the private sector forecasts are more accurate and in others the reverse. Second, the first program review tends to correct the initial bias, whereas the forecasts of the private sector tend to be “sticky.”

C. Self-Assessment by the IMF of Program Forecasts

128. In studies and guidance notes issued by the IMF Policy Review Department (more recently the SPR), the IMF has seen value in assessing the quality of projections in the context of IMF-supported programs. At present, the guidance is restricted to longer-term program engagements and exceptional access arrangements. According to the most recent guidance note, the assessments shall address the accuracy of program projections of key assumptions and objectives, and determine whether risks were correctly identified. 73

129. This section reviews 42 ex post assessments (EPAs) and ex post evaluations of exceptional access arrangements (EPEs) that were completed between 2006 and 2013 in order to assess whether the guidelines have been followed.

130. In the assessments of forecast accuracy made by the 42 ex post evaluations and assessments the number of variables considered varies considerably, from 2 to 40, with an average of about 13. The main variables covered in these assessments are GDP growth, inflation, fiscal balance, external current account balance, public debt, and external debt. The accuracy of GDP growth projections is examined in almost all the 42 documents; inflation and fiscal balance in about 80 percent, and external debt in about 50 percent. Statistical tests are employed in only one case, however. In other cases the methods are considerably less rigorous and informative, frequently being reduced to the presentation of a list of unexpected shocks that justify the deviation from the original projection. Since the studies do not attempt to identify any possible role of systematic errors on the part of the forecaster, they have little to offer as learning tools.

131. According to the EPE and EPA guidelines, the final document must include an annex containing the authorities’ comments on the analysis contained in the EPE or EPA. Out of the 42 documents, 32 include such an annex. Only 7 of these annexes touch upon program forecasts and 6 out of the 7 are quite critical of the interpretation contained in the document. In four cases, the authorities complain that the projections for GDP growth and/or fiscal revenues were overly optimistic (which they ascribe to a poor understanding of the economy) and, worse, that excessively strict fiscal targets slowed down the recovery by depriving the government of needed fiscal space. Significantly, the other two cases complain of the opposite: that forecasts were overly pessimistic and that recovery was much faster than projected.

---

73 See the guidance notes for Ex Post Assessments of Members with a Longer-Term Program Engagement (IMF, 2006b, 2010a) and the similar ones for Ex Post Evaluations of Exceptional Access Arrangements (IMF, 2005, 2010b).
Overall, the evaluation judges the analysis of forecasts contained in EPEs and EPAs to be somewhat pro forma. More rigorous analysis would help the institution learn from past experience.

**D. Assessment**

133. This chapter finds that:

- The authorities in program countries who responded to the IEO survey revealed a positive perception of the transparency, evenhandedness, and accuracy of IMF forecasts (both WEO and Article IV).
- Statistically significant optimistic biases exist for short-term GDP growth forecasts but only for exceptional access programs. For other types of programs the biases tend to be either pessimistic or statistically not significant.
- The accuracy of IMF forecasts at program inception is similar to that of forecasts in the private sector.
sector. At the first review of programs, the IMF is more ready than the private sector to correct for initial errors.

- The EPE and EPA documents are potentially a valuable source for institutional learning. They are, however, not well exploited; their analysis of forecast errors is often perfunctory.
- Transparency is reduced by certain limitations on access to the Monitoring of Fund Arrangements database.